

Exploring Just Enough? How Implicit Search Cost Can Limit Diversity

Xuechunzi Bai Princeton University











How do individual minds adaptively but selectively construct the social world?







How do individual minds adaptively but selectively construct the social world?



How does the constructed social world mold individual minds?





Social Psychology

The "problematic" human





Social Psychology

The "problematic" human





Social Psychology

The "problematic" human





Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human



Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy

Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human



Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy

Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human

Machine Learning

Fairness in A.I.











Katz & Braly, 1933, JASP; Lee & Fiske, 2006, IJIR; Bai, Ramos, & Fiske, 2020, PNAS









Fiske, Cuddy, Glick, & Xu, 2002, JPSP; Fiske & Bai, 2020, COP; Koenig & Eagly, 2014, JPSP



Why do social groups end up with their current positions?



Where do stereotypes come from?

Background: Psychological Mechanisms



Existing Explanations:

Motivational biases

- Identity
- Dominance

Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) In-group favoritism (Brewer, 1999) Social dominance theory (Sidanius & Pratto, 1999) System justification theory (Jost & Banaji, 1994)



Existing Explanations:

Motivational biases

- Identity
- Dominance

Cognitive biases

- Limited memory
- Selective attention

Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) In-group favoritism (Brewer, 1999) Social dominance theory (Sidanius & Pratto, 1999) System justification theory (Jost & Banaji, 1994) Error-prone heuristics (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974) Cognitive miser (Fiske & Taylor, 1984) Illusory correlation (Hamilton & Gifford, 1976) Attention in stereotype formation (Sherman et al., 2009)



Existing Explanations:

Motivational biases

- Identity
- Dominance

Cognitive biases

- Limited memory
- Selective attention

Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) In-group favoritism (Brewer, 1999) Social dominance theory (Sidanius & Pratto, 1999) System justification theory (Jost & Banaji, 1994) Error-prone heuristics (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974) Cognitive miser (Fiske & Taylor, 1984) Illusory correlation (Hamilton & Gifford, 1976) Attention in stereotype formation (Sherman et al., 2009)

Sample biases

• Unequal group size

Beware of samples (Fiedler, 2000) Hot-stove effect (Denrell, 2005)



Existing Explanations:

Motivational biases

- Identity
- Dominance \bullet

Cognitive biases

- Limited memory
- Selective attention

Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) In-group favoritism (Brewer, 1999) Social dominance theory (Sidanius & Pratto, 1999) System justification theory (Jost & Banaji, 1994)

Error-prone heuristics (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974) Cognitive miser (Fiske & Taylor, 1984) Illusory correlation (Hamilton & Gifford, 1976) Attention in stereotype formation (Sherman et al., 2009)

Background | Intuition | Formalism | Simulation | Experiments | Implications

Sample biases

• Unequal group size

Group differences

• Gender

Beware of samples (Fiedler, 2000) Hot-stove effect (Denrell, 2005)

Biosocial constructionism (Wood & Eagly, 2012) Stereotype accuracy (Jussim, 2017)



Existing Explanations:

Motivational biases

- Identity
- Dominance

Cognitive biases

- Limited memory
- Selective attention

Social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) In-group favoritism (Brewer, 1999) Social dominance theory (Sidanius & Pratto, 1999) System justification theory (Jost & Banaji, 1994) Error-prone heuristics (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974) Cognitive miser (Fiske & Taylor, 1984) Illusory correlation (Hamilton & Gifford, 1976) Attention in stereotype formation (Sherman et al., 2009

Sample biases

• Unequal group size

Group differencesGender

Beware of samples (Fiedler, 2000) Hot-stove effect (Denrell, 2005) Biosocial constructionism (Wood & E Stereotype accuracy (Jussim, 2017)





Our Proposal: Adaptive Exploration

Bai, Fiske, Griffiths, 2022, PsychSci; Bai, Griffiths, Fiske, under review



Our Proposal: Adaptive Exploration

Bai, Fiske, Griffiths, 2022, PsychSci; Bai, Griffiths, Fiske, under review





Our Proposal: Adaptive Exploration

Bai, Fiske, Griffiths, 2022, PsychSci; Bai, Griffiths, Fiske, under review





Morally Right

Adaptive to selfinterested decision-makers

Detrimental to collective society





This talk: One individual

Our Proposal: Adaptive Exploration

Future: Consensus across individuals

Bai, Fiske, Griffiths, 2022, PsychSci; Bai, Griffiths, Fiske, under review





Morally Right

Adaptive to selfinterested decision-makers

Detrimental to collective society





Intuition: Hiring











































?	?	?
?	?	?







?	?	?
?	?	?






?	?	?
?	?	?







?	?	?
?	?	?
?		?







?	?	?
?	?	?
?		?







?	?	?
?	?	?
?		?







?	?	?
?	?	?
?		?
?	?	?









Formalism: Contextual Multi-Armed Bandit



Formalism: Contextual Multi-Armed Bandit



Challenge One: Exploration vs. Exploitation



Explore v. Exploit dilemma in Reinforcement Learning (Sutton & Barto, 2018)



Solution One: Thompson Sampling





Thompson sampling (Thompson, 1933; Agrawal & Goyal, 2012)



Social Multi-Armed Bandit







Bai, Fiske, & Griffiths, 2022, PsychSci

Expected Reward Distribution

Which group should I ask next?



Formalism: Contextual Multi-Armed Bandit



Challenge Two: Multiple Dimensions



Li, Chu, Langford, Schapire, 2010, WWW; Chapelle & Li, 2011, NeurIPS



Solution Two: Bayesian Logistic Regression



Li, Chu, Langford, Schapire, 2010, WWW; Chapelle & Li, 2011, NeurIPS



Formalism: Contextual Multi-Armed Bandit



Simulation: Hiring as Contextual Bandit



Simulation:



100 sims; 40 recs each; ~ N(0.9, 0.001)







0.9	0.9	0.9
0.9	0.9	0.9
0.9	0.9	0.9
0.9	0.9	0.9



Simulation: Ground truth









Simulation: Random decisions









Simulation: Random decisions









Simulation: Adaptive decisions







11/30

Simulation: Adaptive decisions









11/30

Interim summary

Groups differ in what they do, from simulated agents making adaptive exploration:



Interim summary

Groups differ in what they do, from simulated agents making <u>adaptive</u> exploration:

- How: Make new decisions based on past
- Why: Utility-maximizing
- Tradeoff: Early positive experiences discourage





Interim summary

Groups differ in what they do, from simulated agents making adaptive exploration:

- How: Make new decisions based on past (selective) experiences.
- Why: Utility-maximizing but (not belief) maximizing.
- Tradeoff: Early positive experiences discourage (exhaustive) exploration.



Experiments: Hiring Toma



Experiment: Hiring Consultant for Toma City (total N=1300)





Experiment: Hiring Consultant for Toma City (total N=1300)

Ready to help the Mayor?

Let's get started!

 \rightarrow

R





BLS, 2020; Fiske & Dupree, 2014, PNAS; Koenig & Eagly, 2014, JPSP



Experiment: Ground truth





Experiment: Participant choices in random decisions







Experiment: Participant choices in adaptive decisions







One concrete example: Subject # 54

True Toma City





One concrete example: Subject # 54

Hiring choices





One concrete example: Subject # 54

Hiring choices



Social positions 6 т 5 Aima. 4 Status Weki • 3 -Reku[•] 2 · 1 -Tufa • 0 -0 5 2 6 3 Cooperation



One concrete example: Subject # 54

Hiring choices






One concrete example: Subject # 54

Hiring choices



Social positions





One concrete example: Subject # 54

"At first it was just trial and error and

that had proven to earn a bonus on previous rounds...

the desire to earn more of a bonus affects the choices we make."

Background Intuition Formalism Simulation Experiments Implications



- want to chance that hypothesis being incorrect, so I stuck to the recommendations

Self-interest maximization



This talk: One individual

Our Proposal: Adaptive Exploration

Future: Consensus across individuals

Bai, Fiske, Griffiths, 2022, PsychSci; Bai, Griffiths, Fiske, under review





Implications: Adaptive Choices vs. Collateral Damage



Groups stand in different positions and have distinct stereotypes. Why?

• We aim to offer one sufficient explanation.

- Without intentional oppressors or cognitively constrained decision makers;
- Without group size differences or innate group differences.

y constrained decision makers; up differences.



Groups stand in different positions and have distinct stereotypes. Why?

- We aim to offer one sufficient explanation.
 - Without intentional oppressors or cognitively constrained decision makers;
 - Without group size differences or innate group differences.

 Because people learn & decide based on the (selective) past. Initiated by historical events, cascading from adaptive choices, driven by self-interest.



Groups stand in different positions and have distinct stereotypes. Why?

- We aim to offer one sufficient explanation.
 - Without intentional oppressors or cognitively constrained decision makers;
 - Without group size differences or innate group differences.

 Because people learn & decide based on the (selective) past. Initiated by historical events, cascading from adaptive choices, driven by self-interest.

Individually adaptive, therefore, collectively alarming.



Real-world examples: Adaptive decisions & Collateral damage

RESEARCH

SOCIAL SCIENCE

Improving refugee integration through data-driven algorithmic assignment

Bansek et al., 2018, Science

Background | Intuition | Formalism | Simulation | Experiments | Implications



Real-world examples: Adaptive decisions & Collateral damage

RESEARCH

SOCIAL SCIENCE

Improving refugee integration through data-driven algorithmic assignment

Dean, Rich, & Recht, 2020, FAccT





Are we doomed because of adaptive exploration?

Background | Intuition | Formalism | Simulation | Experiments | Implications



Design a system to ameliorate negative consequences from adaptive exploration.

Are we doomed because of adaptive exploration?

Background | Intuition | Formalism | Simulation | Experiments | Implications



In hiring practices:



Motivational biases

- Identity
- Dominance

Cognitive biases

- Limited memory
- Selective attention

e.g., de-bias training



In hiring practices:





Sample biases

Unequal group size

Group differences

• Gender

e.g., diversity recruitment



In hiring practices:







In hiring practices:



Design a system that encourages continuous exploration.

Add exploration bonus? Add equity goal?



Hypothesis

Ideas





Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human

Machine Learning



Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy

Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human

Machine Learning



1. Which dimension(s) to use to make decisions?

An Origin Story

Nicolas, Bai, & Fiske, 2022, JPSP





- Which dimension(s) to use to make decisions? 1.
- 2. How about continuous and gestalt dimension(s)?

An Origin Story

Bai, Uddenberg, Labbree, & Todorov, under revision, JPSP









- Which dimension(s) to use to make decisions? 1.
- 2. How about continuous and gestalt dimension(s)?
- 3. How do stereotypes transmit across individuals and generations?

An Origin Story

Bai & Sumers, in progress





- Which dimension(s) to use to make decisions? 1.
- 2. How about continuous and gestalt dimension(s)?
- 3. How do stereotypes transmit across individuals and generations?
- How does adaptive exploration interact with other mechanisms? 4.

An Origin Story







Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy

Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human

Machine Learning



1. Ideological legacy and stereotypes about the working class

Leveraging the context

Grigoryan, Bai, Durante, Fiske, et al., 2020, PSPB





Ideological legacy and stereotypes about the working class 1.

2. Cultural narratives and stereotypes about the upper class

Leveraging the context

Wu, Bai, & Fiske, 2018, JCCP







Ideological legacy and stereotypes about the working class 1.

2. Cultural narratives and stereotypes about the upper class

3. Immigrant diversity and perceived similarity

Leveraging the context

Bai, Ramos, & Fiske, 2020, PNAS













Bai, Ramos, & Fiske, 2020, PNAS



r(48) = -.384, *p* = .006; *b* = -.282, 95% CI [-.478, -.086], *p* = .008



Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Social Policy Diversity and inclusion





Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Bai & Griffiths, in progress; Bai & Agarwal, in progress

The Psychology of How We Make Sense of the Social World

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human



Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human

Machine Learning



Bai & Fiske, 2020, Princeton Center for Statistics and Machine Learning

1. How to design individually intelligent and socially responsible human-AI systems?

Machine Learning



- 1. How to design individually intelligent and socially responsible human-AI systems?
- 2. Can we use insights from human social interactions to inform AI designs, vice versa?

McKee, Bai, & Fiske, 2022, AAMAS; McKee, Bai, & Fiske, under review; Wang, Bai, Barocos, Blodgett, under review.



Machine Learning



Social Psychology

The "problematic" human

Where do stereotypes come from?

Social Policy

Diversity and inclusion

Adaptive exploration

Cognitive Science

The "intelligent" human

Machine Learning





Thank You!



Susan Fiske



Tom Griffiths



Alex Todorov



Gandalf Nicolas





Lucy Grigoryan



Miguel Ramos



Varun Gauri

Exploring Just Enough?







Stacey Sinclair Diana Tamir Kristina Olson



Stefan Uddenberg



Kevin McKee



Kim Knipprath









Ted Sumers Mayank Agarwal Angelina Wang

Xuechunzi Bai